

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/746,305	WILEY ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Christopher A. Revak	2131	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** response after non-final

(1) Christopher A. Revak. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Brad Williams. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 August 2005
**Time:** 1:00 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*35 USC 101 non statutory subject matter*
**Claims discussed:**

21,54

**Prior art documents discussed:**
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to correct a 101 issue with claims 21 and 54. The examiner proposed language to overcome the 101 rejection and the applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


8/30/05

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)